

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS	iii
LIST OF FIGURES	v
LIST OF TABLES	vi
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	vii
GLOSSARY	x
1.0 INTRODUCTION	1-1
1.1 PURPOSE AND NEED FOR AGENCY ACTION	1-1
1.2 BACKGROUND	1-3
1.2.1 Oak Ridge Reservation Biosolids Land Application Sites	1-3
1.2.2 West End Treatment Facility	1-9
1.3 SCOPE OF THE ANALYSIS	1-11
2.0 DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSED ACTION AND ALTERNATIVES	2-1
2.1 PROPOSED ACTION	2-1
2.2 PROPOSED ALTERNATIVES	2-5
2.2.1 Raising the ORR Biosolids Land Application radionuclide planning levels from 4 mrem/yr to 10 mrem/yr and not allowing the addition of WETF effluents into the sanitary sewer system	2-5
2.2.2 No Action	2-5
3.0 DESCRIPTION OF THE AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT	3-1
3.1 REGIONAL DEMOGRAPHY/SOCIOECONOMICS	3-1
3.2 LAND USE	3-3
3.3 ARCHAEOLOGICAL, CULTURAL, AND HISTORICAL RESOURCES	3-3
3.4 GEOLOGY AND SOILS	3-4
3.4.1 General Geologic Setting	3-4

3.4.2 Site-Specific Geology	3-4
3.5 WATER QUALITY	3-6
3.6 FLOODPLAINS AND WETLANDS	3-7
3.7 CLIMATE AND AIR QUALITY	3-9
3.8 ECOLOGICAL RESOURCES	3-9
3.8.1 Threatened and Endangered Species	3-10
4.0 POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS	4-1
4.1 PROPOSED ACTION (4 to 10 mrem/yr Radionuclide Planning Level Increase and WETF Effluent)	4-1
4.1.1 Regional Demography/Socioeconomics	4-1
4.1.2 Land Use	4-2
4.1.3 Archaeological, Cultural, and Historical Resources	4-3
4.1.4 Geology and Soils	4-3
4.1.5 Water Quality	4-6
4.1.6 Floodplains and Wetlands	4-11
4.1.7 Climate and Air Quality	4-12
4.1.8 Ecological Resources	4-12
4.1.8.1 Threatened and endangered species	4-13
4.1.9 Potential Radiological Impacts	4-17
4.1.10 Transportation	4-20
4.1.11 Human Health and Safety	4-21
4.1.12 Accidents	4-22
4.2 ALTERNATIVE 1 (4 to 10 mrem/yr radionuclide planning level increase without WETF Effluent)	4-22
4.3 ALTERNATIVE 2 (No Action)	4-23
4.3.1 Socioeconomics	4-24
4.3.2 Geology and Soils	4-25
4.3.3 Ecological Resources	4-25
4.3.4 Radiological Impacts	4-26
4.4 COMPARISON OF ALTERNATIVES	4-26
5.0 POTENTIAL CUMULATIVE AND LONG-TERM IMPACTS	5-1

5.1 Cumulative Impacts by Resource Area	5-2
6.0 PERMIT AND REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS	6-1
7.0 REFERENCES	7-1
8.0 INDIVIDUALS AND AGENCIES CONSULTED	8-1

APPENDIXES

APPENDIX A	TDEC Division of Radiological Health Approval Letter
APPENDIX B	ORR Biosolids Land Application Program and WETF Characterization Data
APPENDIX C	Socioeconomic/Demographics for Knox, Anderson and Roane Counties
APPENDIX D	Evaluation of a 10 Millirem Per Year Basic Radiation Dose Limit for Setting Soil Guidelines and Sludge Limits for Radionuclides
APPENDIX E	Predictive Modeling for Radionuclide Loading on Oak Ridge Reservation Biosolids Land Application Sites
APPENDIX F	West End Treatment Facility Total Uranium Calculations
APPENDIX G	ORR Biosolids Land Application Sites Human Health Risk Assessment
APPENDIX H	National Pollution Discharge Elimination System Human Health Risk Assessment
APPENDIX I	ORR Biosolids Land Application Site Air Dispersion Model
APPENDIX J	Biological Assessment for ORR Biosolids Land Application Sites
APPENDIX K	West End Treatment Facility Dose Technical Memorandum

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1. Oak Ridge Biosolids Land Application Sites	1-6
Figure 1.2. Current WETF Operational Configuration	1-10
Figure 2.1. Proposed Sanitary Sewer Discharges from the West End Treatment Facility to the Sanitary Sewer System	2-4

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1. Oak Ridge Reservation Biosolids Land Application Sites	1-7
Table 3.1. ORR Biosolids Land Application Site Designated Wetlands	3-8
Table 3.2 ORR Biosolids Land Application Sites Vertebrate Listed Species	3-12
Table 4.1. Risk Factors Associated with Proposed Increase from 4 to 10 mrem/yr Dose Rate	4-4
Table 4.2. Predictive Modeling Application Site Lifetime Soil Radionuclide Levels	4-5
Table 4.3. WETF Parameter Concentrations and Associated Risks	4-10
Table 4.4. Air Dispersion Modeling Results to an On-Site Individual	4-12
Table 4.5. Typical Exposures Received by Members of The General Public in Comparison with Proposed 10 mrem/yr Dose Rate for ORR Land Application Sites	4-19
Table 4.6. Comparison of Alternatives	4-27
Table 5.1. Cumulative On-Site Impacts from the Proposed Actions	5-7